

Fast inversion of hyperspectral observations using Gaussian Locally Linear Mapping

Eric Tatulli^{1*}, Silvere Gousset¹, Sylvain Douté

¹ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IPAG, 38000 Grenoble, tatullie@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

Mots-clés (5 max.) : Hyperspectral data, Atmospheric sounding, Gaussian mixture, Machine learning

Thématique(s) (1 ou 2) : méthodologie, atmosphère

Résumé :

Background and objective : The inversion of hyperspectral observations for atmospheric sounding using classical Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) iterative minimization [1] can quickly lead to prohibitive computation time when dealing with observational campaigns that provide a large amount of spatio-temporal data. To significantly improve computational efficiency, we propose to use the machine-learning capabilities of Bayesian inversion techniques.

Methods : We simulate noisy hyperspectral data using the 4AOP radiative transfer [2] and the real transfer function of the SCARBO instrument [3] dedicated to monitoring greenhouse gases (GHG) from space. The non-linear forward relationship $Y=F(X)$ between hyperspectral observations Y and atmospheric parameters X is modeled using the Bayesian Gaussian Locally Linear Mapping (GLiMM) approach [4], which constructs a joint probability density $p(X,Y)$ represented by a Gaussian mixture. The model is learned from a specific training dataset covering the range of admissible atmospheric parameters. The backward model -- that is the probability of having X knowing the observations Y -- is then estimated, and the atmospheric parameters of an independent testing dataset are retrieved and compared with their true values.

Results : We show that our GLiMM approach retrieves atmospheric parameters a few thousand times faster than iterative LM inversion, while providing similar estimates without significant differences. Considering a 64x64 pixel wide simulated scene with anthropogenic CO₂ emission, we show that the GLiMM process is able to localize the plume with the proper mixing ratio (see Fig. 1) in a few minutes at most.

Conclusion : GLiMM modeling is a promising alternative for reliably estimating GHG concentration from a large hyperspectral dataset in a reasonable timeframe.




Figure 1 : Comparison of true and GLiMM-estimated albedo (left) and CO₂ mixing ratio (right) parameters for a simulated observation of a spatially localized CO₂ plume.

[1] Rodgers, Clive D. *Inverse methods for atmospheric sounding: theory and practice*. Vol. 2. World scientific, 2000.

[2] Gousset, Silvere, et al. "NanoCarb hyperspectral sensor: on performance optimization and analysis for greenhouse gas monitoring from a constellation of small satellites." *CEAS Space Journal* 11 (2019): 507-524.

[3] Chaumat, L., et al. "4AOP: A fast and accurate operational forward radiative transfer model."

[4] Kugler, B., Forbes, F., & Douté, S. (2022). *Fast Bayesian Inversion for high dimensional inverse problems*. *Statistics and Computing*, 32(2), 31.